

On an extension of Girard algebras

Remigijus Petras GYLYS (MII)

e-mail: gyliene@ktl.mii.lt

1. Introduction

In this note we present a generalization of the canonical extension of Girard algebras recently introduced and studied by U. Höhle and S. Weber [1]–[4]. Our results are submitted without proofs. We are going to detail it in a subsequent paper.

2. Girard algebras, MV -algebras and Boolean algebras

A lattice-theoretic definition of a Girard algebra, of a MV -algebra and of a Boolean algebra are the following:

DEFINITION 2.1. *A Girard algebra G is a bounded lattice (with the join \vee , with the meet \wedge , with the least element $0 = \bigwedge G$ and with the greatest element $1 = \bigvee G$) together with commutative semigroup operation \circ with 1 as unit and 0 as zero such that*

1. $a \circ (b \vee c) = (a \circ b) \vee (a \circ c)$ (distributivity between \circ and \vee),
2. There exists a further binary operation $\setminus: G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by

$$a \setminus b = \bigvee \{c \in G \mid a \circ c \leq b\} \text{ (existence of residuals),}$$

which satisfies the following axiom:

$$(a \setminus 0) \setminus 0 = a \text{ (Involution).}$$

In any Girard algebra the residual complement $\perp: G \rightarrow G$ and the dual operation $\uplus: G \times G \rightarrow G$ associated with \circ can be defined by

$$a^\perp = a \setminus 0 \text{ and } a \uplus b = (a^\perp \circ b^\perp)^\perp.$$

DEFINITION 2.2.

1. A Girard algebra G is called an MV -algebra (“multi-valued”) iff the following axiom is satisfied:

$$a \circ (a \setminus b) = a \wedge b \text{ (Divisibility).}$$

2. An MV -algebra is called a Boolean algebra iff $\circ = \wedge$.

3. I-extension of Girard algebras

In [2] was introduced the “canonical“ extension G_1 of a Girard algebra G , the Girard algebra $G_1 = \{(a_1, a_2) \in G \times G \mid a_1 = a_2\}$, where G was identified with its diagonal $G_\Delta = \{(a, a) \mid a \in G\}$. In this note we generalize this canonical extension of G to the I -extension defined as follows.

DEFINITION 3.1. Given a Girard algebra G and the set of natural numbers $I := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 2$, by I -extension G^I of G we shall understand the bounded lattice of all isotone functions $a: I \rightarrow G$. We write $a \leq b$ to mean that $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The lattice-theoretic operations and universal bounds are given by, for all $i \in I$,

$$(a \wedge b)_i = a_i \wedge b_i, (a \vee b)_i = a_i \vee b_i, 0_i = 0 \text{ and } 1_i = 1.$$

If we identify G with all constant functions of G^I , then G is a sublattice of G^I .

THEOREM 3.2. Let G be a Girard algebra. Then G^I is also a Girard algebra with structure (denoted by the same symbols) given by:

1. $(a \circ b)_i = \bigvee_{j,k=1}^n \{a_j \circ b_k \mid j + k = i + 1\}$,
2. $(a \setminus b)_i = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n-i+1} a_j \setminus b_{i+j-1}$,
3. $(a^\perp)_i = (a_{n-i+1})^\perp$,
4. $(a \uplus b)_i = \bigwedge_{j,k=1}^n \{a_j \uplus b_k \mid j + k = n + i\}$.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let G be Girard algebra, and G^I be its I -extension. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. G^I is an MV-algebra.
2. G is a Boolean algebra.

4. Conditioning and mean value generation

In [1]–[2] were proposed the following axioms for conditioning operators and mean value functions in Girard algebras:

DEFINITION 4.1. Let G be a Girard algebra. A binary operation $|: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is called a conditioning operator on G iff $|$ satisfies the following axioms:

1. $a|1 = a$,
2. $(b \circ (b \setminus a))|b = a|b$,

3. $a \leq b \Rightarrow a|c \leq b|c$,
4. $b \leq c$ and $c \circ (c \setminus a) \leq b \circ (b \setminus a) \Rightarrow a|c \leq a|b$
5. $(a|b)^\perp = (a^\perp \circ b)|b$, particularly, $(0|0)^\perp = 0|0$.

LEMMA 4.2 (Lemma 4.3 [2.]) *Every conditioning operator fulfils the following property:*

$$b \circ (b \setminus a) \leq a|b \leq b \setminus a.$$

DEFINITION 4.3. *Let G be a Girard algebra. A mean value function is an isotone, idempotent binary (not necessarily commutative) operation on G , i.e., a map $C: G \times G \rightarrow G$ satisfying the following axioms*

1. $C_{a,a} = a$ (idempotency),
2. $C_{a,b} \leq C_{c,d}$ whenever $a \leq c, b \leq d$ (isotonocity).

A mean value function C is said to be compatible with the residual complement in G iff C satisfies the following additional condition

$$(C_{a,b})^\perp = C_{b^\perp, a^\perp}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4 (Theorem 4.5 [2.]) *Let G be a Girard algebra and C be a mean value function on G which is compatible with the residual complement in G . Then C induces a conditioning operator $|$ on G by*

$$a|b = C_{b \circ (b \setminus a), b \setminus a}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4 (Theorem 4.6 [2.]) *Let G be an MV-algebra and $|$ be a conditioning operator. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $|$ is a mean value based conditioning operator;
2. $|$ satisfies the condition $a \leq b \Rightarrow (a \wedge c)|(c \setminus a) \leq (b \wedge c)|(c \setminus b)$.

5. Conditioning operators and mean value functions on I -extensions of Girard algebras

LEMMA 5.1. *Let G be a Girard algebra and G^I be the I -extension of G , where $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, 2n\}$ with $n \geq 1$. Every mean value function B on G induces a mean value function C on G^I by:*

$$(C_{a,b})_i = \begin{cases} B_{a_i, a_{i+1} \wedge b_i} & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ (B_{(b_i)^\perp, (b_{i-1} \vee a_i)^\perp})^\perp & \text{if } i = n+1, \dots, 2n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, C on G^I is compatible with the residual complement in G^I and satisfies the further property:

$$(C_{a,b})_i = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_1 & \text{if } i = n+1, \dots, 2n, \end{cases}$$

whenever a and b are constant functions, $a \leq b, a = a_1, b = b_1$.

REMARK 5.2 (existence of mean value functions). *Let G be a Girard algebra. Then the following maps B^1 and B^2 defined by*

$$B_{a,b}^1 = a \text{ and } B_{a,b}^2 = b$$

are mean value functions on G . Further the corresponding mean value functions C^1 and C^2 (in the sense of the preceding lemma) are given by

$$(C_{a,b}^1)_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_i & \text{if } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n, \end{cases}$$

$$(C_{a,b}^2)_i = \begin{cases} a_{i+1} \wedge b_i & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_{i-1} \vee a_i & \text{if } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let G^I be the I -extension of a Girard algebra G with $I = \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$. Then there exists a mean value function C on G^I which is compatible with the residual complement in G^I and satisfies the condition in the preceding lemma. Further the mean value based conditioning operator $|$ corresponding to C satisfies the additional property:*

$$a|b = \begin{cases} b_1 \circ (b_1 \setminus a_1), & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ b_1 \setminus a_1, & \text{if } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n, \end{cases}$$

whenever a and b are constant functions, $a \leq b$, $a = a_1$, $b = b_1$.

6. Uncertainty measures on MV-algebras

DEFINITION 6.1. ([2]) *Let G be an MV-algebra, and \perp and \uplus be the residual complement and the dual operation associated with \circ . A map $m: G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called an uncertainty measure iff m satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $m(0) = 0$, $m(1) = 1$,
2. $a \leq b \Rightarrow m(a) \leq m(b)$.

An uncertainty measure m is said to be additive iff it satisfies the axiom:

3. $a \circ b = 0 \Rightarrow m(a \uplus b) = m(a) + m(b)$.

Now we are going to present the last important result of this note (generalizing Theorem 6.5 [2]), to establish the existence of additive measure extension in the Boolean case. For this, we need the following observation

LEMMA 6.2. *Let G be a Boolean algebra, and m be a probability measure on it. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n (with $n \geq 2$) be elements of G such that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$. Then for every $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ the equality holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& m\left(\bigwedge_{j,k=1}^n \{a_j \vee b_k \mid j+k=n+i\}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \{m(a_j \vee b_k) \mid j+k=n+i\} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \{m(a_j \vee b_k) \mid j+k=n+i+1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we arrive at

THEOREM 6.3. *Let G be a Boolean algebra, and m be a probability measure on G . Let G^I be the MV-algebra I -extension of G . Then m has a unique extension to an additive uncertainty measure \tilde{m} on G^I , i.e., there exists a unique additive uncertainty measure \tilde{m} on G^I such that the restriction of \tilde{m} to G coincides with m . In particular, \tilde{m} is given by*

$$\tilde{m}(a) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m(a_i).$$

References

1. U. Höhle, S. Weber, Uncertainty measures, realizations and entropies, in: J. Gaoutsias, R.P.S. Mahler, H.T. Nguyen (Eds.), *Random Sets: Theory and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg/Berlin/NewYork (1997), pp. 259–295.
2. U. Höhle, S. Weber, On conditioning operators, in: U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/London (1999), pp. 653–673.
3. S. Weber, Conditioning on MV-algebras and additive measures, Part I, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **92** (1997), 241–250.
4. S. Weber, Conditioning on MV-algebras and additive measures, Further results, in: D. Dubois, H. Prade, E.P. Klement (Eds.), *Fuzzy Sets, Logics and Reasoning about Knowledge*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht (1999), pp. 175–199.

REZIUMĖ

R.P. Gyllys. Apie Žiraro algebrų plėtinį

Aprašomas Žiraro algebrų kanoninio plėtinio apibendrinimas.