

## A limit theorem for the Lerch zeta-function

Jolita IGNATAVIČIŪTĖ (VU)

*e-mail: jolita.ignataviciute@maf.vu.lt*

Let  $s = \sigma + it$  be a complex variable, and let  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  denote the Lerch zeta-function, defined for  $\sigma > 1$  by the following Dirichlet series

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

Here  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  are fixed parameters. The function  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$  is analytically continuable over the complex plane.

Let  $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , and let  $H(D)$  stand for the space of analytic functions on  $D$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and let  $h > 0$  be a fixed number such that  $\exp\{2\pi k/h\}$  is rational for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We suppose that  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\alpha$  is a transcendental number. Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  the class of Borel sets of the space  $S$ , and let

$$\mu_N(\dots) = \frac{1}{N+1} \#\{0 \leq k \leq N, \dots\},$$

where in the place of dots we write a condition satisfied by  $k$ .

In [1] a limit theorem for the probability measure

$$P_N(A) = \mu_N(L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$$

was proved.

The purpose of this article is to obtain an explicit form of the limit measure  $P$ .

Define the probability measure

$$P_{N,p_n}(A) = \mu_N(p_n(s + ikh, \alpha) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

where

$$p_n(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{a(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad a(m) \in \mathbb{C},$$

is an arbitrary Dirichlet polynomial.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a probability measure  $P_{p_n}$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  such that the measure  $P_{N, p_n}$  converges weakly to  $P_{p_n}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof* of the lemma is given in [1].

Let  $g(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , be a unimodular function, and define an arbitrary Dirichlet polynomial by

$$p_n(s, g, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(m)g(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad a(m) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Define the probability measure

$$\tilde{P}_{N, p_n}(A) = \mu_N(p_n(s + ikh, g, \alpha) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

**Lemma 2.** *The probability measures  $P_{N, p_n}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{N, p_n}$  both converge weakly to the same probability measure  $P_{p_n}$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$\Omega_n = \prod_{m=0}^n \gamma_m,$$

where  $\gamma_m = \gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$  for all  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $m_n$  stand for the Haar measure on  $(\Omega_n, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_n))$ . Define the function  $h : \Omega_n \rightarrow H(D)$  by the formula

$$h(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{a(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s x_m}, \quad (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega_n.$$

In the proof of Lemma 1 it was shown that  $P_{p_n} = m_n h^{-1}$ . Define the function  $\tilde{h}$  in a similar manner as  $h$ . By Lemma 1 the probability measure  $\tilde{P}_{N, p_n}$  converges weakly to  $m_n h^{-1}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Define the function  $h_1 : \Omega_n \rightarrow \Omega_n$  by the formula

$$h_1(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_0 e^{-i\Theta_0}, \dots, e^{-i\Theta_n}),$$

where  $\Theta_m = \arg g(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(x_0, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{a(m)g(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s x_m} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{a(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s x_m} \exp\{i\Theta_m\} = h(h_1(x_0, \dots, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$m_n \tilde{h}^{-1} = m_n (h(h_1))^{-1} = (m_n h_1^{-1}) h^{-1}.$$

Since the Haar measure  $m_n$  is invariant with respect to the translation by points in  $\Omega_n$ , it follows that  $m_n \tilde{h}^{-1} = m_n h^{-1}$ . This proves the lemma.

Let

$$a_h = \{(m + \alpha)^{-ih}, m = 0, 1, \dots\},$$

and

$$\Omega = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m,$$

$\gamma_m = \gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$ . Define a transformation  $\varphi_h$  by

$$\varphi_h(\omega) = a_h \omega, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

A set  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  is called an invariant set with respect to the transformation  $\varphi_h$  if the sets  $A$  and  $A_h = \varphi_h(A)$  differ one from another by the set of zero  $m_H$ -measure. The transformation  $\varphi_h$  is called ergodic if its  $\sigma$ -field of invariant sets consists only of sets having  $m_H$ -measure equal to 0 or 1 (see [5]).

**Lemma 3.** *The transformation  $\varphi_h$  is ergodic.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  be such that  $m_H(A \Delta A_h) = 0$ . Let  $\chi$  be a nontrivial character of  $\Omega$ . It is known (see [2]) that there exists a positive rational number  $r = \frac{l}{m}$  such that

$$\chi(\omega) = \omega(r) = \frac{\omega(l)}{\omega(k)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\chi(a_h) = (r + \alpha)^{-ih}.$$

If  $\chi(a_h) = 1$  then

$$r + \alpha = \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi k_0}{h} \right\}$$

with some  $k_0$ . Since  $\exp\{2\pi k/h\}$  is rational for all integers, hence we deduce that  $k_0 = 0$  and therefore  $r + \alpha = 1$ . However then  $\chi$  is the trivial character of  $\Omega$ . Consequently,  $\chi(a_h) \neq 1$ .

Since the set  $A$  is invariant, the Fourier transform of its indicator is

$$\hat{I}_A(\chi) = \int_{\Omega} \chi(\omega) I_A(\omega) m_H(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \chi(a_h \omega) I_A(a_h \omega) m_H(d\omega) = \chi(a_h) \hat{I}_A(\chi).$$

Hence  $\widehat{I}_A(\chi) = 0$  for all nontrivial characters of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\chi_0$  be the trivial character of  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\widehat{I}_A(\chi_0) = u$ . Therefore

$$\widehat{I}_A(\chi) = u \int_{\Omega} \chi(\omega) m_H(d\omega) = \widehat{u}(\chi)$$

for each character  $\chi$  of  $\Omega$ . Since  $I_A(\omega)$  is uniquely determined by its Fourier transform, we obtain that  $m_H(A) = 0$  or  $m_H(A) = 1$ . The lemma is proved.

Let  $\omega(m)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots$ , stand for the projection of  $\omega \in \Omega$  to the coordinate space  $\gamma_m$ . Set

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} L_m(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega),$$

where

$$L_m(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) = \frac{\omega(m) e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$L_0(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) = |L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega)|^2.$$

It is known (see [4]) that  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega)$  is a  $H(D)$ -valued random element on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *We have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^N |L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega)|^2 = BN, \quad N \rightarrow \infty,$$

for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  and for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* Taking into account the pairwise orthogonality of the random variables  $L_m(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega)$ , we find that

$$EL_0(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E|L_m(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega)|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^{2\sigma}} < \infty.$$

It is obvious that

$$L_0(\lambda, \alpha, s, \varphi_h^k(\omega)) = |L(\lambda, \alpha, s, a_{kh}\omega)|^2 = |L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega)|^2.$$

In view of Lemma 3 and the well-known Birkhoff theorem (see [5])

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N L_0(\lambda, \alpha, s, \varphi_h^k(\omega)) = EL_0(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) < \infty$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . This proves the lemma.

The series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(m) e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s}$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $D$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . This fact was obtained in [4]. Denote by  $\Omega_1$  the subset of  $\Omega$  such that both the latter assertion and Lemma 4 are true for  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ . Then  $m(\Omega_1) = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $D$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N |L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega) - L_n(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega)| = 0$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ .

*Proof* is a slight modification of the proof in [1, Lemma 6].

In [1] it was proved that for  $\sigma_1 > \frac{1}{2}$

$$L_n(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}}{(m + \alpha)^s} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{m + \alpha}{n + \alpha} \right)^{\sigma_1} \right\},$$

and the series being absolutely convergent for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . Also the probability measure

$$P_{N,n}(A) = \mu_N(L_n(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

was defined.

**Lemma 6.** *There exists a probability measure  $P_n$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  such that the measure  $P_{N,n}$  converges weakly to  $P_n$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof* of the lemma is given in [1].

Define the probability measure

$$\tilde{P}_{N,n}(A) = \mu_N(L_n(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ .

**Lemma 7.** *There exists a probability measure  $P_n$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  such that the measures  $P_{N,n}$  and  $\tilde{P}_{N,n}$  both converge weakly to  $P_n$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The *proof* of the lemma is similar to that of Lemma 6.

Now we will deal with the probability measure

$$\tilde{P}_N(A) = \mu_N(L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

Reasoning similarly to the proof of the Theorem in [1] we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 8.** *There exists a probability measure  $P$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  such that the measures  $P_N$  and  $\tilde{P}_N$  both converge weakly to  $P$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

Let  $P_L$  denote the distribution of  $L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega)$ , i.e.

$$P_L(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)).$$

**Theorem.** *The measure  $P_N$  converges weakly to  $P_L$  on  $(H(D), \mathcal{B}(H(D)))$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$  be a continuity set of  $P$ . The properties of weak convergence and the assertion of Lemma 8 imply that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu_N(L(\lambda, \alpha, s + ikh, \omega) \in A) = P(A)$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ . Let us fix the set  $A$  and define the random variable  $\theta$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$  by

$$\theta(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $E\theta = \int_{\Omega} \theta dm_H = m(\omega : L(\lambda, \alpha, s, \omega) \in A) = P_L(A)$ . By Lemma 3 and the Birkhoff theorem

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^N \theta(\varphi_h^k(\omega)) = E\theta$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Thus

$$P(A) = P_L(A)$$

for any continuity set of  $P$ . Since the continuity sets constitute the determining class, we have

$$P(A) = P_L(A)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D))$ . The theorem is proved.

## References

- [1] J. Ignatavičiūtė, A limit theorem for the Lerch zeta-function, *Fizikos ir matematikos fakulteto mokslinio seminaro darbai* (to appear).
- [2] A. Laurinčikas, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann zeta-function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (1996).
- [3] A. Laurinčikas, A limit theorem for the Lerch zeta-function on the space of analytic functions, *Liet. Mat. Rink.*, **37**, 191–203 (1997) (in Russian).
- [4] A. Laurinčikas, On the limit distribution of the Lerch zeta-function, *New Trends of Probability and Statistics*, Vol. 4, TEV/VSP, Vilnius/Utrecht, 135–145 (1997).
- [5] A. A. Tempelman, *Ergodic Theorems on Groups*, Mokslas, Vilnius (1986).

## Ribinė teorema Lercho dzeta funkcijai

J. Ignatavičiūtė

Straipsnyje įrodoma diskrečioji ribinė teorema Lercho dzeta funkcijai analizinių funkcijų erdvėje.