

On the limits for distributions of additive functions

Algirdas MAČIULIS, Jonas ŠIAULYS* (VU)
 e-mail: algirdas.maciulis@maf.vu.lt

Let $\{f_x, x \geq 2\}$ be a set of additive functions and

$$\nu_x(f_x(m) < u) = [x]^{-1} \# \{m \leq x : f_x(m) < u\}. \quad (1)$$

The following assertion about weak convergence of the distribution functions $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ was proved in [1], [2].

Theorem 1. *Let $\{f_x, x \geq 2\}$ be a set of strongly additive functions and $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each prime number p . The distribution functions (1) converge weakly (as $x \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if there exist finite limits*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{p_1 \leq x \\ f_x(p_1)=1}} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1 \\ f_x(p_2)=1}} \frac{1}{p_2} \dots \sum_{\substack{p_{l-1} \leq x \\ p_{l-1} \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-2} \\ f_x(p_{l-1})=1}} \frac{1}{p_{l-1}} \sum_{\substack{p_l \leq x/p_1 p_2 \dots p_{l-1} \\ p_l \neq p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{l-1} \\ f_x(p_l)=1}} \frac{1}{p_l} = g_l \quad (2)$$

for each integer $l \geq 1$. Moreover the characteristic function of the limit distribution is

$$1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_l}{l!} (e^{it} - 1)^l.$$

Denote by \mathcal{M} the set of limit distributions for (1) appearing in this theorem. The problem is to characterise this set. For any distribution in \mathcal{M} the factorial moments g_l are finite and have peculiar expressions (2). Moreover, from (2) we get

$$g_l \leq g_{l-k} g_k \quad (3)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1$ and $l = 2, 3, \dots$

There are two possibilities. Namely, the limit distribution has infinite support if $g_l > 0$ for all natural l , or it has finite support if $g_m = 0$ for some natural m . In the second case we have that $g_l = 0$ for all $l \geq m$ according to (3). In this article we shall give the description of limit distributions with supports $\{0, 1\}$ or $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Similarly can be found limit distributions with support $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, but the authors prefer not to frighten readers with cumbersome expressions.

*Partially supported by Grant from the Lithuanian Foundation of Studies and Science.

The set of prime numbers we denote by \mathcal{P} . The symbol $*$ over the sign of sum means that the sum is taken over primes p with the condition $f_x(p) = 1$.

Theorem 2. *The distribution F with support $\{0, 1\}$ belongs to \mathcal{M} if and only if the characteristic function of F has the form*

$$\varphi(t) = 1 + g(e^{it} - 1), \quad (4)$$

where

$$g = \frac{\varepsilon}{P} + \alpha, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \alpha \in [0, \ln 2], \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \varepsilon + \alpha > 0.$$

Theorem 3. *The distribution G with support $\{0, 1, 2\}$ belongs to \mathcal{M} if and only if the characteristic function of G has the form*

$$\psi(t) = 1 + g_1(e^{it} - 1) + \frac{g_2}{2}(e^{it} - 1)^2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{P_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{P_2} + \alpha + \beta + \gamma, \quad P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1\}, \\ g_2 &= g_1^2 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{P_1^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{P_2^2} + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + 2\alpha\beta + 2\alpha\gamma + 2\beta\gamma \right) + 2\theta, \\ \alpha, \gamma &\in [0, \ln(3/2)], \quad \beta \in [0, \ln(4/3)], \quad \theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2], \quad \alpha + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 > 0, \\ \theta_1 &= (\beta + \ln(3/2))(\alpha + \ln 2d) + \text{Li}_2(e^{-\alpha}/2) - \text{Li}_2(d), \\ \theta_2 &= \alpha\beta + (\alpha - \ln 3c)(\ln 2 - \beta) + \text{Li}_2(c) - \text{Li}_2(e^\alpha/3), \\ c &= \min\{e^\alpha/3, 1 - e^\beta/2\}, \quad d = \max\{e^{-\alpha}/2, 1 - 2e^{-\beta}/3\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{Li}_2(x)$ is the polylogarithm of the second order, i.e.,

$$\text{Li}_2(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^2}, \quad |x| \leq 1.$$

For some α, β the parameters θ_1 and θ_2 have more simple representation. Namely $\theta_1 = 0$ when $d = e^{-\alpha}/2$ and $\theta_2 = \alpha\beta$ if $c = e^\alpha/3$.

Proof of Theorem 2. I. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{supp } F = \{0, 1\}$. Theorem 1 yields

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} = g > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x/p_1 \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \frac{1}{p_2} = 0.$$

The last equality implies (for details see Corollary 4 in [2]) the existence of integer $D \geq 2$ with properties

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq D : f_x(p) = 1\} \leq 1,$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D < p \leq \sqrt{x}}^* \frac{1}{p} = 0.$$

Let now y_k be an arbitrary unbounded increasing sequence of real numbers. There is a subsequence x_k such that the limits

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{p \leq D} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\varepsilon}{P}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{\sqrt{x_k} < p \leq x_k} \frac{1}{p} = \alpha$$

exist. Here the symbol ' over the sign of sum means that the sum is taken over primes p with the condition $f_{x_k}(p) = 1$. Since $g = \alpha + \varepsilon/P$, the characteristic function of F has the representation (4).

II. Suppose that the characteristic function of distribution F is (4). Let

$$f_x(p) = \varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{\{P\}}(p) + \mathbf{1}_{(\sqrt{x}, x^{\alpha/2})}(p).$$

Theorem 1 guarantees that $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ converge weakly to the distribution F . Hence F belongs to \mathcal{M} . This proves Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. I. Let a distribution G with support $\{0, 1, 2\}$ belongs to \mathcal{M} . Again by appeal to the theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p} &= g_1 > 0, & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x/p_1 \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \frac{1}{p_2} &= g_2 > 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p_1 \leq x}^* \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{\substack{p_2 \leq x \\ p_2 \neq p_1}}^* \frac{1}{p_2} \sum_{\substack{p_3 \leq x/p_1 p_2 \\ p_3 \neq p_1 \cdot p_2}}^* \frac{1}{p_3} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The later equality implies (see Corollary 4 in [2]) the existence of integer $D \geq 2$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq D : f_x(p) = 1\} &\leq 2, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{D < p \leq x^{1/3}}^* \frac{1}{p} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Any unbounded increasing sequence of real numbers contains a subsequence x_k such that the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{p \leq D} \frac{1}{p} &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{P_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{P_2}, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{x_k^{1/3} < p \leq x_k^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p} &= \alpha, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{x_k^{1/2} < p \leq x_k^{2/3}} \frac{1}{p} &= \beta, & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{x_k^{2/3} < p \leq x_k} \frac{1}{p} &= \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{x_k^{1/3} < p_1 \leq x_k^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum'_{\substack{x_k^{1/2} < p_2 \leq x_k^{2/3} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k}} \frac{1}{p_2} = \theta$$

exist. Finally, since

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{\varepsilon_1}{P_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{P_2} + \alpha + \beta + \gamma, \\ g_2 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum'_{p_1 \leq x_k^{1/3}} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum'_{\substack{p_2 \leq x_k / p_1 \\ p_1 p_2 \neq x_k}} \frac{1}{p_2} + \sum'_{x_k^{2/3} < p_1 \leq x_k} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum'_{\substack{p_2 \leq x_k^{1/3} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum'_{x_k^{1/3} < p_1 \leq x_k^{1/2}} \frac{1}{p_1} \left(\sum'_{\substack{p_2 \leq x_k^{1/3} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} + \sum'_{\substack{x_k^{1/3} < p_2 \leq x_k^{1/2} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} + \sum'_{\substack{x_k^{1/2} < p_2 \leq x_k^{2/3} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} \right) + \\ &\quad \left. + \sum'_{x_k^{1/2} < p_1 \leq x_k^{2/3}} \frac{1}{p_1} \left(\sum'_{\substack{p_2 \leq x_k^{1/3} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} + \sum'_{\substack{x_k^{1/3} < p_2 \leq x_k^{1/2} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x_k \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \right) \\ &= g_1^2 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{P_1^2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{P_2^2} + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + 2\alpha\beta + 2\alpha\gamma + 2\beta\gamma \right) + 2\theta, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that distribution G has characteristic function (5). It remains only to evaluate the bounds for parameter θ . Let us introduce some auxiliary functions. For $(a, b) \in W = [1/3, e^{-\alpha}/2] \times [1/2, 2e^{-\beta}/3]$ denote

$$\theta(a, b) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x^a < p_1 \leq x^{a_1}} \frac{1}{p_1} \sum_{\substack{x^b < p_2 \leq x^{b_1} \\ p_1 p_2 \leq x}} \frac{1}{p_2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x^a < p \leq x^{a_1}} \frac{S(p, b, b_1)}{p},$$

where $a_1 = ae^\alpha$, $b_1 = be^\beta$ and $S(p, b, b_1)$ equals to β , $\ln(\ln x - \ln p) - \ln \ln x^{b_1}$ and 0 in the ranges $p < x^{1-b_1}$, $x^{1-b_1} \leq p < x^{1-b}$ and $p \geq x^{1-b}$ respectively. Using the standard formulas for sums over prime numbers we have for $0 < \tau \leq \lambda < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x^\tau < p \leq x^\lambda} \frac{1}{p} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\ln p}{\ln x} \right) &= - \sum_{x^\tau < p \leq x^\lambda} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\ln p}{\ln x} \right)^k \\ &= \text{Li}_2(\tau) - \text{Li}_2(\lambda) + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln x}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Consider the function $\theta(a, b)$ separately on the subsets W_i of the rectangle W :

$$W_1 = \{(a, b) \in W : a + b \geq 1\},$$

$$W_2 = \{(a, b) \in W : a + b \leq 1, a + b_1 \geq 1, a_1 + b \geq 1\},$$

$$W_3 = \{(a, b) \in W : a + b_1 \geq 1, a_1 + b \leq 1\},$$

$$W_4 = \{(a, b) \in W : a + b_1 \leq 1, a_1 + b \geq 1\},$$

$$W_5 = \{(a, b) \in W : a + b_1 \geq 1, a_1 + b \leq 1, a_1 + b_1 \geq 1\},$$

$$W_6 = \{(a, b) \in W : a_1 + b_1 \leq 1\}.$$

Having in mind (6), it is straightforward to evaluate the function $\theta(a, b)$. Thus $\theta(a, b) = h_i(a, b)$ for $(a, b) \in W_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$. The functions $h_i(a, b)$ are defined as follows

$$h_1(a, b) = 0, \quad h_2(a, b) = \ln b \ln \frac{a}{1-b} + \text{Li}_2(a) - \text{Li}_2(1-b),$$

$$h_3(a, b) = -\alpha \ln b + \text{Li}_2(a) - \text{Li}_2(a_1),$$

$$h_4(a, b) = (\beta + \ln b) \ln \frac{1-b_1}{a} + \ln b \ln \frac{a}{1-b} + \text{Li}_2(1-b_1) - \text{Li}_2(1-b),$$

$$h_5(a, b) = (\beta + \ln b) \ln \frac{1-b_1}{a} - \alpha \ln b + \text{Li}_2(1-b_1) - \text{Li}_2(a_1),$$

$$h_6(a, b) = \alpha\beta.$$

We note that h_i is continuous on W_i and $h_i(a, b) = h_j(a, b)$ when $(a, b) \in W_i \cap W_j$. Therefore the function $\theta(a, b)$ is continuous in the whole rectangle $W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_6$ for each fixed pair α, β . It is not difficult to show that

$$\theta_1 = \theta\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha}}{2}, \frac{2e^{-\beta}}{3}\right) \leq \theta \leq \theta\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \theta_2.$$

This completes the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.

II. Let G be a distribution with characteristic function (5). Bearing in mind the continuity of $\theta(a, b)$ we have that for any real number $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ there exists a point $(a, b) \in W$ where $\theta(a, b) = \theta$. Now we are ready to construct the set of strongly additive functions f_x . Defining A_x by

$$A_x = (x^a, x^{ae^\alpha}] \cup (x^b, x^{be^\beta}] \cup (x^{2/3}, x^{2e^\gamma/3}],$$

we take

$$f_x(p) = \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{1}_{\{P_1\}}(p) + \varepsilon_2 \mathbf{1}_{\{P_2\}}(p) + \mathbf{1}_{A_x}(p)$$

for any prime number p . It follows from Theorem 1 that $\nu_x(f_x(m) < u)$ converges to the distribution G . Hence $G \in \mathcal{M}$ and the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

References

- [1] J. Šiaulys, On the distributions of additive functions, *LMD mokslo darbai*, 3: supplement of *Liet. Matem. rink.*, Vilnius, MII, 104–109 (1999).
- [2] J. Šiaulys, Factorial moments for distributions of additive functions (to appear in *Liet. Matem. Rink.*).

Apie adityviųjų funkcijų skirstinių ribas

A. Mačiulis, J. Šiaulys

Nagrinėjama stipriai adityviųjų funkcijų šeima $\{f_x, x \geq 2\}$, kuriai $f_x(p) \in \{0, 1\}$ visiems pirminiams skaičiams p . Straipsnyje aprašomi tokių funkcijų skirstinių ribiniai dėsniai su nešėjais $\{0, 1\}$ arba $\{0, 1, 2\}$.